

Massiah-Jackson, for the eastern district of Pennsylvania, Federal court. Judge Massiah-Jackson has a very distinguished record on the State Court of Common Pleas in Philadelphia County. Although some questions have arisen, a couple of intemperate remarks, I think, do not disqualify her. If intemperate remarks were disqualifiers, there wouldn't be any Federal judges, there wouldn't be any Senators or anybody in any other positions. Questions have arisen about her sentencing. Out of 4,000 cases, 95 appeals were taken and reversals in only 14 cases. I urge my colleagues to support Judge Fred-erica Massiah-Jackson so we can fill a vacancy on the Federal court.

Several Senators addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Vermont.

UNANIMOUS-CONSENT AGREE- MENT—CONFERENCE REPORT AC- COMPANYING S. 830

Mr. JEFFORDS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate now turn to the conference report accompanying the FDA reform bill; that it be considered as having been read; that there be 30 minutes for debate equally divided between the chairman and ranking minority member, with an additional 5 minutes for Senator REED of Rhode Island; and that following the conclusion or yielding back of time, the Senate proceed to vote on the adoption of the conference report, all without further action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Mr. HARKIN. Reserving the right to object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Iowa.

Mr. HARKIN. As I understand this, we now have an hour of debate?

Mr. JEFFORDS. Half hour; 30 minutes.

Mr. HARKIN. And then we will vote.

Mr. JEFFORDS. Right.

Mr. HARKIN. It will be a recorded vote.

Mr. JEFFORDS. No, it will not be. It depends on the body, but it is intended to be a voice vote.

Mr. HARKIN. Thirty minutes of debate, a voice vote and then there will be no pending business after that? What will the pending business be after that voice vote?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The pending business is the fast-track bill. My understanding of the request of the Senator from Vermont was 30 minutes equally divided, plus an additional 5 minutes for the Senator from Rhode Island.

Mr. HARKIN. Mr. President, since everybody else seems to be getting in line, I wonder if I can amend that to ask unanimous consent that after the disposition of this bill, after the voice vote, which I understand is included in your disposition, after the disposition of this bill, that the Senator from Iowa be recognized.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Mr. KENNEDY. Mr. President, I was wondering if we could ask for 40 minutes. I have a couple of Senators on our side who would like time, who have been very active on this issue. Perhaps we could have a few more minutes so that we could accommodate their requests. Would that be agreeable?

Mr. JEFFORDS. Does that include the Senator from Iowa?

Mr. HARKIN. No.

Mr. KENNEDY. No.

Mr. JEFFORDS. Yes. I have an objection to the request from the Senator from Iowa.

Mr. KENNEDY. Mr. President, could we have 40 minutes then on the bill?

Mr. JEFFORDS. I have no objection to the Senator from Iowa being recognized as in morning business for a period of 10 minutes after the vote.

Mr. HARKIN. I understand that after the vote on this bill, the pending bill is the fast-track bill.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator is correct.

Mr. HARKIN. I ask unanimous consent that after disposition of this bill, the Senator from Iowa be recognized to speak on the fast-track bill. That is all.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to the request?

Mr. JEFFORDS. It would have to be in morning business.

Mr. HARKIN. I don't understand why it has to be in morning business.

Mr. JEFFORDS. It is my understanding from the majority leader that the 10 minutes the Senator is requesting should occur as in morning business. That is all I can tell you.

Mr. KENNEDY. If the Senator would be recognized for 10 minutes—

Mr. JEFFORDS. I believe the Senator would be recognized for 10 minutes, but it would be in morning business.

Mr. HARKIN. I want to ask unanimous consent that the Senator from Iowa be recognized for up to 20 minutes after the disposition of this bill.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to the unanimous-consent request?

Mr. JEFFORDS. Objection. I object.

Mr. HARKIN. Then I will object to that unanimous-consent request.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Objection is heard.

Mr. JEFFORDS. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. JEFFORDS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection? Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. JEFFORDS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate now turn to the conference report to accompany the FDA bill, and the conference report be considered as having

been read, and that there be 40 minutes of debate equally divided, and that following the conclusion or yielding back of time, the Senate proceed to a vote for adoption of the conference report, all without further action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Several Senators addressed the Chair.

Mr. HARKIN. Reserving the right to object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Rhode Island.

Mr. REED. Reserving the right to object, I don't know what I did, but a few minutes ago I had 5 minutes. There wasn't 5 minutes—

Mr. JEFFORDS. Then I will amend it to ask unanimous consent to add an additional 5 minutes for the Senator from Rhode Island, Senator REED.

Mr. REED. I thank the Senator.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to the unanimous-consent request?

Mr. HARKIN. Reserving the right to object, I ask unanimous consent to amend that unanimous consent so the Senator from Iowa would be allowed 20 minutes in morning business after the disposition of it.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to the unanimous-consent request by the Senator from Iowa?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, the entire unanimous-consent request is agreed to.

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION MODERNIZATION ACT OF 1997— CONFERENCE REPORT

Mr. JEFFORDS. Mr. President, I submit a report of the committee of conference on the bill (S. 830) to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and the Public Health Service Act to improve the regulation of food, drugs, devices, and biological products, and for other purposes, and ask for its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The report will be stated.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

The committee on conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the House to the bill (S. 830), have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses this report, signed by all of the conferees.

The Senate proceeded to consider the conference report.

(The conference report is printed in the House proceedings of the RECORD of November 9, 1997.)

Mr. JEFFORDS addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Vermont.

Mr. JEFFORDS. Mr. President, before us is the conference report on S. 830, the Food and Drug Administration Modernization Act. This is really an excellent moment to bring this up and consider what has been accomplished.

This bill represents the first major reform of the Food and Drug Administration in some 30 years. For our committee, it is the second major reform